Disclaimer: This two-pager has been prepared by the CKN Secretariat and should not be considered the work of the individuals listed as authors of the report.

#44 A Matter of Principle: China's Developing Country Status in International Climate Negotiations

Authors: Louise van Schaik, Ties Dams, Pieter Pauw

December 2025

Key Takeaways

- China is both a partner and rival of the EU in climate diplomacy. Shared interests in energy transition coexist with growing political and economic tensions.
- Developing country status is a matter of principle for China. It reflects identity and positioning, not just access to benefits.
- This creates a negotiation deadlock. The EU sees China as a superpower, while China aligns with the Global South.
- European frustration is increasing, but cooperation is still essential. Climate change remains a key area where both sides must work together.
- The challenge is strategic, not moral. The EU should focus on pragmatic ways to manage the disagreement rather than resolve it outright.

Recommendations

- Shift focus from status to substance: Rather than directly challenging China's label, the EU should target the practical benefits attached to developing country status, especially in climate finance and obligations.
- Promote reform through broader multilateral debates: Use upcoming UN reform discussions to reopen the question of development classifications in a less confrontational, system-wide context.
- Start with other countries to build precedent: Engage with countries like Saudi Arabia, Singapore, or South Korea in discussions about status evolution to avoid isolating China and reduce political sensitivity.
- Rebalance climate finance priorities: Amplify voices advocating that funding should prioritize least developed countries, while encouraging expanded South-South cooperation.
- Align climate policy with strategic interests: Exclude China from potential EU international offset mechanisms and scrutinize Chinese-linked projects abroad to avoid indirect benefits.
- Communicate political consequences clearly: Emphasize to China that its exemptions risk weakening European domestic support for climate cooperation and multilateralism overall.

Disclaimer: This two-pager has been prepared by the CKN Secretariat and should not be considered the work of the individuals listed as authors of the report.

Executive Summary

China presents a dual dynamic in EU climate diplomacy: it is simultaneously a crucial partner and a structural point of contention. Both sides share a strategic interest in accelerating the global energy transition and reducing dependence on fossil fuels, creating strong incentives for cooperation. However, this cooperation is increasingly constrained by a fundamental disagreement over China's status in international climate negotiations.

China continues to identify as a developing country within frameworks such as the UNFCCC, while the EU increasingly views it as an economic superpower. This divergence is not merely technical but deeply political. For China, the designation is a matter of principle tied to sovereignty, identity, and its leadership role within the Global South. Even where China shows willingness to relinquish associated benefits, as in recent WTO discussions, it remains reluctant to abandon the label itself.

This creates a persistent deadlock. The EU sees China's position as incompatible with its economic weight and global emissions profile, while China views external pressure to change its status as illegitimate interference. As a result, a symbolic disagreement continues to obstruct practical cooperation in climate negotiations, as seen during the 2025 EU-China Summit and COP30 in Belém.

At the same time, the urgency of climate change and shared economic interests make disengagement unrealistic. Climate cooperation remains one of the few areas where EU-China collaboration is both possible and necessary, despite broader geopolitical tensions and increasing economic competition, particularly in clean technologies.

Given this context, the challenge for the Netherlands and the EU is not to resolve the status dispute outright, but to manage it pragmatically. This requires shifting focus away from formal classifications toward the concrete implications of those classifications, such as access to climate finance and differentiated responsibilities. It also involves embedding the debate within broader multilateral reform efforts, thereby reducing the risk of bilateral confrontation.

In parallel, the EU can pursue incremental strategies: engaging other countries on status reform to build precedent, prioritizing climate finance for the most vulnerable states, and aligning its own policy tools, such as carbon offset mechanisms, with strategic objectives. Clear communication toward China is equally important, particularly regarding the domestic political consequences in Europe if perceived imbalances persist.

Ultimately, as China treats its developing country status as a matter of principle, the EU and the Netherlands must respond with strategic pragmatism, balancing the need to uphold their position with the imperative of maintaining effective climate cooperation.